REPORT OF THE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ARMED FORCES

October 1, 1997 to October 1, 1998

The Judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces submit their annual report on the administration of the Court and military justice during the 1998 Term of Court to the Committees on Armed Services of the United States Senate and the United States House of Representatives, and to the Secretaries of Defense, Transportation, Army, Navy, and Air Force in accordance with Article 146, Uniform Code of Military Justice, 10 USC § 946.

THE BUSINESS OF THE COURT

The number of cases carried over on the Court's Petition Docket at the end of the 1998 Term of Court reflected an increase of 23% from the number of cases pending at the end of the prior reporting period. (See Appendix A.) However, the number of cases carried over on the Master Docket decreased substantially by 64% during the same period. (See Appendix B.)

During the 1998 Term of Court the number of petitions for grant of review remained fairly constant compared with the prior reporting period. (See Appendix J.) The number of oral arguments increased by 14% during the 1998 Term of Court and the number of opinions released by the Court increased by the same percentage compared with the prior reporting period. (See Appendices C and D.)¹

The average processing time from the date of filing a petition to the date of a grant by the Court remained fairly constant during the 1998 Term of Court when compared with the prior reporting period. (See Appendix E.)

¹ Although not part of the business of the Court, it is noted that during its 1998 Term the Court was notified that petitions for writ of certiorari were filed with the Supreme Court of the United States in 30 Master Docket cases in which the Court issued a final decision.

Although the average processing time from the date of grant to the date of oral argument increased by 17%, the processing time from the date of oral argument to final decision remained fairly constant compared with the prior reporting period. (See Appendices F and G.) The average processing time from the filing of a petition to final decision on the Petition Docket decreased by 17%, and the same overall average on the Master Docket decreased slightly by 2%. (See Appendix H.) The overall average processing time from filing to final decision in all cases during the 1998 Term of Court increased by 19%. (See Appendix I.)

The Chief Justice of the United States, acting pursuant to Article 142(f), Uniform Code of Military Justice, 10 USC § 942(f), designated the Honorable Kenneth F. Ripple, United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, and the Honorable H. Robert Mayer, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, to sit as judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces during the 1998 Term of Court. Additionally, Senior Judge Robinson O. Everett was recalled and participated in the review and decision of several cases during the same reporting period.

During its 1998 Term the Court admitted 319 attorneys to practice before its Bar, bringing the cumulative total of admissions before the Bar of the Court to 31,160.

PUBLIC AWARENESS PROJECT (PROJECT OUTREACH)

Pursuant to its practice established in 1987, the Court scheduled several special sessions and heard oral arguments in selected cases outside its permanent Courthouse in Washington, D.C. during the 1998 Term of Court. This practice, known as "Project Outreach", was developed as part of a public awareness program to demonstrate not only the operation of a Federal Court of Appeals, but also the effectiveness and quality of the criminal justice system of the Armed Forces of the United States. Hearings were conducted without objection of the parties at Fort Bliss, Texas; the University of Texas School of Law, Austin, Texas; the Wake Forest University School of Law, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; the Catholic University of America Columbus School of Law, Washington, D.C.; the George Washington University School of Law,

Washington, D.C.; the United States Coast Guard Academy, New London, Connecticut; the United States Military Academy, West Point, New York; the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, Colorado; and the Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island.

This program has continued to promote an increased public awareness of the fundamental fairness of the military criminal justice system and the role of the Court in the overall administration of military justice throughout the world. The Court hopes that those who attend these hearings from both military and civilian communities will realize that the United States is a democracy that can maintain an armed force instilled with the appropriate discipline to make it a world power, while affording all its members the full protection of the Constitution of the United States and Federal law.

JUDICIAL VISITATIONS

During the 1998 Term of Court the Judges of the Court, consistent with past practice and their ethical responsibility to oversee and improve the entire military criminal justice system, participated in professional training programs for military and civilian lawyers, spoke to professional groups of judges and lawyers, and visited with judge advocates and other military personnel at various military installations throughout the world.

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

On May 7 and 8, 1998, the Court held its Annual Judicial Conference in the Marvin Center, George Washington University School of Law, Washington, D.C. The Judicial Conference Program was certified for credit to meet the continuing legal education requirements of numerous State Bars throughout the United States in order to assist both military and civilian practitioners in maintaining those professional skills necessary to practice before trial and appellate courts. The Conference opened with welcoming remarks and a presentation by the Honorable Walter T. Cox III, Chief Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, on the "State of the Court," followed by speakers for this year's Conference who included Professor Mark V. Tushnet, Georgetown University Law Center; Dean Donald N. Zillman, Dean and Godfrey Professor of Law, University of Maine School of Law; Professor Robert P.

Mosteller, Duke Law School; the Honorable John J. Farley, III, Associate Judge, United States Court of Veterans Appeals; Lieutenant Colonel Lawrence J. Morris, Major Maurice A. Lescault, Jr., and Major Norman F.J. Allen, III, The Judge Advocate General's School, United States Army; Professor Stephen A. Saltzburg, George Washington University Law School; Lieutenant Colonel Anne L. Burman, The Judge Advocate General's School, United States Air Force; Eugene R. Fidell, Esquire; Mr. Thomas E. Ricks, National Military Reporter, Wall Street Journal; Richard Parker, Deputy Chief of the Civil Division, United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Virginia; Robert C. Erickson, Assistant United States Attorney, Eastern District of Virginia; and Lieutenant Commander Tammy P. Tideswell, Naval Justice School, United States Navy.

The Judge Advocates Association Awards for outstanding career attorneys in each of the Armed Forces were presented by Colonel William R. Hagan, USA (Ret.), President of the Judge Advocates Association.

WALTER T. COX III Chief Judge

EUGENE R. SULLIVAN Associate Judge

SUSAN J. CRAWFORD Associate Judge

H.F. "SPARKY" GIERKE Associate Judge

ANDREW S. EFFRON Associate Judge

USCA STATISTICAL REPORT

1998 TERM OF COURT

CUMULATIVE SUMMARY

CUMULATIVE PENDING OCTOBER 1, 1997
Master Docket289Petition Docket239Miscellaneous Docket531
CUMULATIVE FILINGS
Master Docket216Petition Docket1197Miscellaneous Docket23TOTAL1436
CUMULATIVE TERMINATIONS
Master Docket400Petition Docket1142Miscellaneous Docket25TOTAL1565
CUMULATIVE PENDING OCTOBER 2, 1998
Master Docket105Petition Docket290Miscellaneous Docket3TOTAL398

OPINION SUMMARY

CATEGORY	SIGNED	PER CURIAM	MEM/ORDER	TOTAL
Master Docket	117	8	275	400
Petition Docket	0	0	1142	1142
Miscellaneous Docket	5	0	20	25
TOTAL	$\dots \overline{122}$	8	1437	1567

FILINGS (MASTER DOCKET)

Remanded from Supreme Court	
TERMINATIONS (MASTER DOCKET)	
Findings & sentence affirmed	Signed 11 Per curiam 8 Mem/order 275 TOTAL 400
PENDING (MASTER DOCKET)	
Awaiting briefs 34 Awaiting oral argument 32 Awaiting lead case decision (trailer cases) 31 Awaiting final action 8 TOTAL 105	
FILINGS (PETITION DOCKET)	
Petitions for grant of review filed	
TERMINATIONS (PETITION DOCKET)	
Petitions for grant dismissed	Signed 0 Per curiam 0 Mem/order1142 TOTAL 1142

PENDING (PETITION DOCKET)

Awaiting briefs	137
Awaiting Central Legal Staff review	46
Awaiting final action	107
TOTAL	290

FILINGS (MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET)

Writs of error coram nobis sought	1
Writs of habeas corpus sought	1
Writs of mandamus/prohibition sought	1
Other extraordinary relief sought	3
Writ appeals sought	15
TOTAL	21

TERMINATIONS (MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET)

Petitions withdrawn	0	
Petitions remanded	0	
Petitions granted	4	
Petitions denied	20	Signed 5
Petitions dismissed	1	Per curiam. 0
Other	0	Mem/order 20
TOTAL	25	TOTAL 25

PENDING (MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET)

Awaiting briefs	0
Awaiting Writs Counsel review	0
Awaiting final action	3
TOTAL	3

RECONSIDERATIONS & REHEARINGS

	BEGIN		END	DIS	DISPOSITIONS			
CATEGORY	PENDING	FILINGS	PENDING	Granted	Denied	Total		
Master Docket .	1	80	6	3	72	75		
Petition Docket	0	28	0	5	23	28		
Misc. Docket	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	$\dots \overline{1}$	$1\overline{08}$	- 6	8	95	103		

MOTIONS ACTIVITY

BEGIN			\mathtt{END}	DISPOSITIONS			
CATEGORY	PENDING	FILINGS	PENDING	Granted	Denied	Other	<u>Total</u>
All motions	23	822	25	736	76	8	820