

each to the overall purpose of the recommended workshop's goals. NIST will consider the following when developing the workshops that it will offer: Does the recommended workshop align with the Administration and/or NIST priorities? Is the workshop recommendation compatible with or complementary to these priorities? Is the recommended country, countries or region of focus identified in the National Export Strategy as a key market (target market, next tier market or mature market)? Is the product sector export-intensive and/or have the potential to enhance U.S. export opportunities? Is the recommended country/region developing infrastructure? Is there a need for standards and conformity assessment to support the changes? Have or will new regulations be proposed that will impact market entry for U.S. industry? Is there a market access issue in a specific sector, country or region? If appropriate, is there support from a regulatory or other federal agency for the workshop recommendation? Is there a significant and possible long-term commitment from U.S. industry stakeholders to support the recommendation and the goals and objectives of the recommended workshop?

All recommendations must be submitted through the webform at <http://gsi.nist.gov/global/index.cfm/L1-4/L2-14>.

Dated: April 6, 2012.

Willie E. May,

Associate Director for Laboratory Programs.

[FR Doc. 2012-9254 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

Notice of Meeting

The next meeting of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for 18 April 2012, at 10 a.m. in the Commission offices at the National Building Museum, Suite 312, Judiciary Square, 401 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20001-2728. Items of discussion may include buildings, parks, and memorials.

Draft agendas and additional information regarding the Commission are available on our Web site: www.cfa.gov. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or oral statements should be addressed to Thomas Luebke, Secretary, U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address; by emailing staff@cfa.gov; or by calling 202-504-2200. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation

for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date.

Dated: April 11, 2012 in Washington, DC.

Thomas Luebke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-9167 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6331-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DOD-2009-OS-0108]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Defense Logistics Agency announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the reinstated information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this

proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the DLA Logistics Information Service, Attn: Mr. Robert A. Burrow, DLIS-LAE, 74 Washington Ave., N., Suite 7, Battle Creek, MI 49037-3084, or call Mr. Robert A. Burrow at (269) 961-4410.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB

Number: Department of Defense Electronic Mall (EMALL) Web site; OMB Control Number 0704-TBD.

Needs and Uses: Each user of the DoD EMALL Web site must complete registration information in order to receive DoD EMALL access. Authorized users are able to register and log into the DoD EMALL Web site to shop, search, order, and make purchases.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, local, or Tribal governments.

Annual Burden Hours: 8,345.

Number of Respondents: 33,379.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden per Response: .25.

Frequency: On occasion.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

DoD EMALL is an Internet-based Electronic Mall, which allows customers to search for and order items from the government and commercial sources. DoD EMALL is a Department of Defense program operated by the Defense Logistics Information Service (DLIS). All users are required to register and be authenticated and authorized by a DLIS Access Administrator. Access DoD EMALL at: <https://www.dod-emall.dla.mil>.

Dated: April 13, 2012.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2012-9306 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DOD-2012-OS-0048]

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces Proposed Rules Changes

ACTION: Notice of proposed changes to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the following proposed changes to Rules 19(b) and 22(b) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

DATES: Comments on the proposed change must be received within 30 days of the publication date of this notice.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this Federal Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William A. DeCicco, Clerk of the Court, telephone 202-761-1448.

Dated: April 12, 2012.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

Rules 19(b)

The first sentences of Rules 19(b)(1), (2), and (3) currently read:

(b) Certificate for review/brief/answer/reply.

(1) *Article 62, UCMJ, cases.* In cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on appeal by the United States under Article 62, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. 862, a certificate for review, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24 on behalf of the appellant, shall be filed with the Court by the Judge Advocate General no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(2) *Extraordinary relief cases.* In cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on application for extraordinary relief filed therein, a certificate for review, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24 on behalf of the appellant, shall be filed with the Court by the Judge Advocate General no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(3) *Other cases.* In all other cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals, a certificate for review filed by the Judge Advocate General shall be filed either (a) no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals (*see* Rules 22 and 34(a)), or (b)

no later than 30 days after a petition for grant of review is granted.

The proposed changes to the first sentences of Rule 19(b)(1), (2) and (3) would read:

(1) *Article 62, UCMJ, cases.* In cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on appeal by the United States under Article 62, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 862, a certificate for review, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24 on behalf of the appellant, shall be filed with the Court by the Judge Advocate General no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(2) *Extraordinary relief cases.* In cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on application for extraordinary relief filed therein, a certificate for review, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24 on behalf of the appellant, shall be filed with the Court by the Judge Advocate General no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(3) *Other cases.* In all other cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals, a certificate for review filed by the Judge Advocate General shall be filed either (a) no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals (*see* Rules 22 and 34(a)), or (b) no later than 30 days after a petition for grant of review is granted.

Rule 22(b)

The first sentences of Rule 22(b)(1), (2), and (3) currently read:

(b)(1) *Article 62, UCMJ, cases.* A certificate for review of a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on appeal by the United States under Article 62, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. 862, shall be filed, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24, on behalf of the appellant no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(2) *Extraordinary relief cases.* A certificate for review of a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on application for extraordinary relief filed therein shall be filed, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24, on behalf of the appellant, no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(3) *Other cases.* In all other cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals, a certificate for review shall be filed either (a) no later than 30 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals (*see* Rule 34(a)) or (b) no later

than 30 days after a petition for grant of review is granted.

The proposed changes to the first sentences of Rule 22(b)(1), (2), and (3) would read:

(b)(1) *Article 62, UCMJ, cases.* A certificate for review of a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on appeal by the United States under Article 62, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. 862, shall be filed, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24, on behalf of the appellant, no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(2) *Extraordinary relief cases.* A certificate for review of a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals on application for extraordinary relief filed therein shall be filed, together with a supporting brief in accordance with Rule 24, on behalf of the appellant, no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

(3) *Other cases.* In all other cases involving a decision by a Court of Criminal Appeals, a certificate for review shall be filed either (a) no later than 60 days after the date of the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals (*see* Rule 34(a)) or (b) no later than 30 days after a petition for grant of review is granted.

Comment: Rules 19(b) and 22(b) currently allow 30 days for the filing of certificates for review by the Judge Advocate General, although a service member is given 60 days to file a petition for grant of review. Extension requests are filed in nearly all of the cases in which a certificate is to be filed, and the Rules Advisory Committee has determined that the 30 days allowed by this rule is insufficient time to obtain the necessary approvals and to file the certificate.

This change to 60 days will not apply in those cases where the Court grants a petition for grant of review, and the government wishes to request the Judge Advocate General to file a certificate for review. In that event, the Judge Advocate General will continue to have 30 days from the date the petition is granted to file the certificate for review. The 30-day deadline in these cases should not be extended because it will slow the processing of the case by the Court, and it will be less onerous because the case is already before the Court, making it easier for the services to determine whether they wish to bring additional issues before the Court in those cases.

The proposed change contained in the **Federal Register** Notice of January 23, 2012, omitted the words “(*see* Rules 22 and 34(a))” from the change to Rule

19(b). The error is corrected in this notice. Also, the January 23, 2012, Notice did not include proposed changes to Rule 22(b), which contains the same filing deadlines for certificates for review that were in Rule 19(b). This notice provides the necessary proposed change to Rule 22(b) as well.

[FR Doc. 2012-9253 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Evaluation of State Vocational Rehabilitation Agency Administration of Supported Employment Programs

SUMMARY: The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Program provides a wide range of services to help individuals with disabilities to prepare for and engage in gainful employment. Eligible individuals are those who have a physical or mental impairment that results in a substantial impediment to employment, who can benefit from VR services for employment, and who require VR services.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or mailed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 04796. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection and OMB Control Number when making your request.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that Federal agencies provide interested parties an early opportunity to comment

on information collection requests. The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Evaluation of State Vocational Rehabilitation Agency Administration of Supported Employment Programs.

OMB Control Number: Pending.

Type of Review: New.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 80.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 249.

Abstract: If a State is unable to serve all eligible individuals, priority must be given to serving individuals with the most significant disabilities. The program is funded through formula-based grants awarded by the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) to State VR agencies to receive funding from the basic Title I formula grant program.

The Supported Employment (SE) Grant Program provides funding to assist States in developing and implementing collaborative programs with appropriate entities to provide SE services to individuals with the most significant disabilities who require SE services to achieve employment outcomes under Title VI Part B of the Rehabilitation Act for the SE State Grants Program. SE funds are used to supplement funds provided under the State VR grants program for the cost of providing SE services. Funds cannot be used to provide extended services necessary to maintain individuals in employment after the end of SE services, which usually do not exceed 18 months.

RSA proposes to conduct a national survey of all 80 state VR agencies. RSA seeks to evaluate how State VR agencies implement supported employment

services for individuals with disabilities, how state VR agencies use Title VI Part B funds in conjunction with Title I funds to fund supported employment programs, and whether State VR agencies are effective in obtaining supported employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities. The evaluation also seeks to identify the factors that contribute to successful supported employment outcomes.

RSA will address the following objectives:

- Identify agency practices with respect to providing SE services;
- Determine how agencies use Title VI-B, Title I and other funds to provide SE; and
- Determine how agency practices affect the achievement of SE outcomes.

Dated: April 13, 2012.

Tomakie Washington,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2012-9308 Filed 4-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Submission for OMB Review; Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program—Phase II—Grant Application Package

SUMMARY: This application package invites small business concerns to submit a Phase II application for the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program (CFDA 84.133). This is in response to Public Law 106-554, the "Small Business Reauthorization Act of 2000, H.R. 5667" enacted on December 21, 2000.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or mailed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 04810. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view.

Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537.